

Some people believe that all children should have a pet or an animal to look after. Other people disagree, however, saying that this depends on a child's circumstances. Consider these opposing views, and give your opinion.

Caring for domestic animals brings various benefits for children's development, while it ~~is cannot not applying be applied~~ for all children it ~~is depending depends~~ on ~~the~~ situation of ~~a~~ child.

On the one hand, supporters of ~~the~~ pet's ownership cite that caring for pets ~~have has~~ numerous benefits ~~ranges ranging~~ from mental and physical development. First of all, besides all the fun and happiness that pets bring into the house, they also bring along many educational benefits for both children and adults. owning a pet allows kids to understand how to love and be responsible. Child~~ren~~ also learn basic lessons on building strong character~~istics~~ like loyalty. Having pets at home ~~helps~~ remain fit and healthy, ~~child compelled~~ to be on their toes at all times. Pets make them more agile in the house, they expect to play with them. All this not only ~~keeps~~ kids in some kind of daily routine but also ~~helps~~ them ~~keep~~ing healthy and fit.

By contrast, opponents of this view point out that not all children live in a situation where pet keeping is advisable, or even possible. Pets ownership is not low –cost and maintaining a pet may be prohibitively~~ly~~ ~~expensive~~ for less affluent families. In addition, many children live in unstable family environments, for these family's pets would probably suffer neglect ~~that which it~~ would be unfair to keep them.

Overall, it appears that it is not a ~~true justified~~ statement that 'all children should have animals'. The decision to keep a pet should be based on ~~a~~ child's interests and family circumstances.